# DANIDA FELLOWSHIP CENTRE

### **HOW TO WRITE A GOOD PROPOSAL**

#### Recommendation 1 - make sure to address the call text

- Read the call carefully and pay attention to the guiding principles for the support to development
  research as these principles provide the framework for the support to development research by the
  Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Denmark.
- Ensure that the research proposal **addresses the theme of the call** and not only a small part of the call text.

## Recommendation 2 – explain how the research contributes to new knowledge and capacity strengthening

- You must carefully explain how the project contributes to new knowledge by positioning the project in relation to existing research. It is not enough to provide a list of literature, but you must explain how controversies in the literature may be addressed and how 'conventional wisdom' may be revised.
- Research questions and hypotheses must **describe the innovative nature** of the proposed research.
- You must make sure that **capacity-strengthening elements are considered**, based on the needs of the partner/sector and that these go beyond PhD training.

## Recommendation 3 – carefully consider the socio-economic context and development dimension of the research

- The socio-economic and institutional context of the research must be clear in the proposal.
- You must be able to demonstrate sufficient **knowledge of the national and regional context** in which your research will be conducted, including relevant research/literature linked to that context as well as the general situation in the country/region.
- The rationale for multi-country comparative studies must be clearly explained in cases where more than one country are included.
- Engage relevant public and private sector partners in the project, and explain how the research will be positioned for use.

#### Recommendation 4 - make sure that the research questions appear clearly

- It must be clear that the proposal constitute a **genuine research project** with clear research questions.
- Make sure that **research objectives** are well defined, clearly presented and reflected in the structure of the work packages.
- You must **identify one or more research questions**, which are not only intellectually challenging but also relevant and under-researched. In other words, you must ask a question to which we do not already know the answer.
- You must demonstrate that you have **the right group of researchers** to answer the identified question(s).
- It is not an advantage to cover very broad research questions or extensive empirical material. It is important that the proposal is **focused**, **realistic and feasible**.

## Recommendation 5 – make sure that the research proposal is feasible, builds on equitability and is gender sensitive

- It must appear clearly **how the research is structured**, e.g. in work packages.
- You must ensure a **good balance between inputs from each of the project partners** and include the right competences in the team.
- You must ensure that the budget is balanced between South and North partners, and provide justification for budget distribution.
- Consider **interdisciplinarity a strong asset** or describe the considerations if the project is not interdisciplinary.
- It must be clear **who does what**, why the team composition is as it is, incl. gender composition, combination of senior and junior researchers, North-South balance, etc.
- The main researchers must allocate sufficient time. Make sure that it is not only junior researchers, who make the proposal but ensure that senior researchers actively take part.
- Explain how you consider the **gender dimensions of the research**. Considerations about gender sensitivity are encouraged in all aspects of the outlined project, including composition of the research team, data collection strategies, engagement with research participants/communities and analytical framework.

#### Recommendation 6 - make the research idea come across clearly

- Clarity is important both in terms of focus and text quality. You are addressing the entire Committee,
  which consists of members from different disciplines. Applicants must be able to explain the research
  proposal to someone who is a researcher but may not have specialist knowledge about this particular
  aspect of the theme of the call.
- While making sure that the **research is analytical rather than descriptive**, it must also be meaningful, easy to read without long complex sentences, complex language, complex models etc.
- You must argue why the idea is good and what knowledge the research ideally will bring. What are the key concepts (1-3, not 25), what data will be needed/collected, what methods are being employed, and how they are interconnected?
- You can ask both colleagues from your own discipline as well as a colleague/friend from another discipline or field to go through your proposal to check if your research idea comes across to a broader audience.

/ DFC, October 2024